FINAL PAPER

ANALYSIS OF THE IMPORTANT INDICATORS FROM PERCEIVED QUALITY OF FOUR DESIGN ELEMENTS (UNITY, COMPLEXITY, INTENSITY, AND NOVELTY) FOR MARKETING TOOL AESTHETICS TO HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AND PARENTS IN SURABAYA

Submitted as a final requirement to obtain the degree of Sarjana Ekonomi Strata Satu

By:

NAME: STEVEN OCTAVIANO

NPM : 00000027192



PROGRAM STUDI MANAJEMEN FAKULTAS EKONOMI UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN SURABAYA 2017



UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN SURABAYA STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY OF FINAL PAPER

I am student from *Jurusan Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya.*

Student Name

Steven Octaviano

NPM

00000027192

Department

Manajemen

Hereby declare that the work of Final Paper that I created with the title of "ANALYSIS OF THE IMPORTANT INDICATORS FROM PERCEIVED QUALITY OF FOUR DESIGN ELEMENTS (UNITY, COMPLEXITY, INTENSITY, AND NOVELTY) FOR MARKETING TOOL AESTHETICS TO HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AND PARENTS IN SURABAYA" is:

- Created and completed by my own, by using the result of lectures, field reviews and books and journal references listed in the list of references at the end of my Final Paper
- 2) Not a duplication of other papers that have been published or have ever been used to get a degree at another university, except in parts of the information sources listed by proper reference.
- Not the work of translated collections of reference books or journals listed the reference at the end of my Final Paper

If it is proved that I did not fulfil what has been stated above, the work of this Final Paper is invalid,

Surabaya, 20 August 2018

Declared by,



Steven Octaviano



UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN SURABAYA STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY OF FINAL PAPER

AGREEMENT OF MENTORING GUIDANCE FOR FINAL PAPER

ANALYSIS OF THE IMPORTANT INDICATORS FROM PERCEIVED QUALITY OF FOUR DESIGN ELEMENTS (UNITY, COMPLEXITY, INTENSITY, AND NOVELTY) FOR MARKETING TOOL AESTHETICS TO HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AND PARENTS IN SURABAYA

By.

Student Name

Steven Octaviano

NPM

00000027192

Department

Manajemen

Has been examined and approved for submission and defended in a comprehensive presentation in order to obtain the degree of *Sarjana Ekonomi Strata Satu* in *Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Pelita Harapan* Surabaya.

Surabaya, 20th August 2018
Approving:

Advisor 1

Co-Advisor

Yanuar Danajaya, B.Sc, MM

Executive Director

Head of Management Department

Dr. Ronald Suryaputra, ST, M.M.

Dr. Amelia, SE, RFP-I, MM

Dr. Ronald Suryaputra, ST, M.M



UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN SURABAYA STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY OF FINAL PAPER

AGREEMENT OF PANELIST APPROVAL FOR FINAL PAPER

On Wednesday, 20th September 2018 has been held a comprehensive presentation in order to fulfill academic requirment to obtain *Sarjana Ekonomi-Strata Satu* in *Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Pelita Harapan* Surabaya on the behalf of:

Student Name

Steven Octaviano

NPM

00000027192

Department

Manajemen

Including Final Paper comprehensive test by the title of "ANALYSIS OF THE IMPORTANT INDICATORS FROM PERCEIVED QUALITY OF FOUR DESIGN ELEMENTS (UNITY, COMPLEXITY, INTENSITY, AND NOVELTY) FOR MARKETING TOOL AESTHETICS TO HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS AND PARENTS IN SURABAYA

Board of Panelist

Status

Signature

as the Chairman and Advisor

2. Hananiel Menoverdi G., BA, M.BA as the Member

3 Dr.Amelia, SE, RFP-I, M.M as the Member

[&]quot; panelist team consisting of:

ABSTRACT

Indonesia has a huge demand for quality and qualified human power as the nation is now more internationally exposed and the effect of globalisation. However, what we find today is that the human labour is not qualified to be working under the international companies with tighter and higher qualification standards. Therefore, higher education for the citizens of Indonesia is very much needed in the future.

As the economy grows in a country, the market in education turning more competitive as the government will decrease its budget for education institutions and let them find funding on their own. This is caused as the demand on higher education programs outnumbered the programs that are available. There is a need in growing, developing and in the end, creating high-quality manpower which has the correlation with Surabaya being the city that controls the economy of the nation. Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya will need to continue to enrol students in order to be competitive and to be able to provide Indonesia qualified and high-quality humans that is ready for the career and even setting up new businesses.

The purpose of this research is to reveal which are the most important indicators from Perceived Quality of Design Elements (Unity, Complexity, Intensity and Novelty) and implement them into the end product that will be created by the author, in accordance to the demands and the significance of the indicators which has been derived from the high school students and also their parents who are domiciled in Surabaya.

This study uses a descriptive approach to the analysis technique of Structural Equation Model (SEM) and the AMOS 22.0 software. The questionnaire distributed to 220 respondents in the sample in which the characteristics of respondents that consists of men and women in the age range 14-60 years, who have received a higher education institution marketing tool and had heard about UPH Surabaya. The sampling method used in this research that is non - probability sampling.

From this study, we also find out which indicators is the most important from the rest of the indicators in the model, regardless their variable grouping and thus we came in conclusion as follows: students have voted PQND 1, PQND 5, PQND 3, PQUD 2, PQUD 7, PQUD 5, PQND 2, PQUD 6, PQCD 1, PQUD 3, PQID 3, and PQCD 3 to be the most important indicators (written in order from the most important).. Novelty Design has made 5 (five) indicators to the list and followed with Complexity Design with two indicators. On the other extent, in this study we also have collected the questionnaires from the parents in which they have different opinion from the students which we can see as follows: parents have voted PQUD 7, PQND 2, PQND 4, PQID 6, PQND5, PQID 5, PQCD 3, PQND 1, PQND 3, PQCD 1, PQCD 5, and PQUD 1. Interestingly, both parents have voted 5 each for Novelty Design in which is made up slightly above 40% of the list.

Keywords: Perceived Quality Design, Design Aesthetics, Unity, Complexity, Intensity, Novelty, Corporate Image, Higher Education, Institutions, Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya.

PREFACE

Praise to the Lord Jesus Christ who has given His blessings and guidance throughout the preparation of this thesis as the final paper for the undergraduate study. To God be the Glory. The author also would like to greatly appreciate the following individuals for their valuable contributions in helping out the outhor from the beginning to the end of this thesis.

- 1. Dr. Ronald Suryaputra, S.T., M.M. as the Academic Director of *Universitas Pelita Harapan* Surabaya and the Advisor, for the priceless guidance, advices encouragement during the whole process of the thesis preparation and the patience and kindness in checking every single mistake in the paper before the submission. Also, a big gratitude for defending, guidance, and helping me in my proposal and final defense.
- 2. Yanuar Dananjaya, B. Bs, M.M.., as the co-advisor, for the priceless guidance, encouragement, advice during the whole process of the thesis preparation and final defense.
- 3. Amelia, S.E., M.M., as one of the panelist during the final defense for the advice and guidance during the whole process of the thesis preparation.
- 4. Hananiel Menoverdi G., BA, M.BA., as one of the panelist during the final defense for the advice and guidance during the whole process of the thesis preparation.
- 5. Mrs. Lisdawaty Hendrawan and Mr.Agus Hendrowarsito, my beloved mother and father, for bringing me to this world, brought me up until the day I have completed the paper, and also for all the priceless assistances, encouragements and supports during the whole process of thesis preparation.
- 6. To my brothers who has given encouragements, supports and also challenges for me, either in academic life or real life.
- 7. To all lecturers and tutors (especially tutors from UPH Surabaya) who has shared with us the knowledge and experiences throughout my study in Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya.

- 8. To the whole members of *Himpunan Mahasiswa Program Studi Manajemen* who have given me chance to work on something that I am passionate about and create posters for the organization in which leads me to this topic in the study.
- 9. PT. Triartha Food Mandiri, for granting me to get internship in the company in which I can exercise my graphic designing better and have a better sense on how to create a marketing tool that is accepted by the society.
- 10. To my beloved KTB Cornerstone, who have neverendingly been praying for my studies in campus and also supports me mentally and spiritually during the preparation of the whole paper.
- 11. Friends from SIM-GE Batch 2, who I help and support me throughout the campus life in UPH Surabaya.
- 12. Jasa Roaster Group Surabaya whom has upgraded me to be a better person in life and has been true and faithful throughout this chapter of my life.
- 13. Paha Bebek (Xena and Vania), who have motivated and encourage me morally and mentally, provide me with positive vibes and motivating words to finish this final paper.
- 14. To Dada Ayam, thank you for the beneficial, entertaining, yet purposeful information and always be there for me, either in my sorrows or joy.
- 15. For all my friends, lecturers, university staffs, and all other people involved in the preparation of the thesis.

Although the thesis is still far from being perfect, the author does believe that this thesis will be useful for further usage. As the thesis is completed, with the effort and love from all the people involved in the preparation stage as mentioned before, and to them, the author dedicates this final paper.

Surabaya, 20 August 2018

Steven Octaviano

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PA	GE	i
STATEME	NT OF AUTHENTICITY OF FINAL PAPER	ii
AGREEMI	ENT OF MENTOTING GUIDANCE FOR FINAL PAPER	iii
AGREEMI	ENT OF PANELIST APPROVAL FOR FINAL PAPER	iv
ABSTRAC	T	v
PREFACE		vi
TABLE OF	F CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF T	ABLES	xii
LIST OF P	ICTURES	XV
LIST OF A	PPENDICES	xvii
CHAPTER	I: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	Background of Study	1
1.2.	Research Problems	11
1.3.	Research Objectives	11
1.4.	Research Contributions	11
1.5.	Research Limitations	12
1.6.	Research Outlines	12
CHAPTER	II: LITERATURE REVIEW	14
2.1.	Perceive Quality	14
2.1.1.	Unity	16
2.1.2.	Complexity	17
2.1.3	Intensity	18
2.1.4	Novelty	19
2.2.	Previous Study	20
2.3.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	23
2.4.	Research Model	27
2.5.	Operational Framework	27
CHAPTER	III: RESEARCH METHODS	29
3.1.	Research Design	29
3.2.	Type of Research.	29

	3.3.	Population and Samples.	30
	3.3.1.	Population	30
	3.3.2.	Samples	31
	3.4.	Data Collection Methods	33
	3.5.	Operational Definition and Variable Measurement	35
	3.6.	Data Analyzing Method	36
	3.6.1.	Reliability Test	45
(CHAPTER IV:	DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	46
	4.1.	Overview of Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya	46
	4.2.	Data Analysis	46
	4.2.1.	Descriptive Statistic	47
	4.2.1.1.	Characteristic of Respondents	47
	4.2.1.2.	Overview of Respondents by Age	47
	4.2.1.3.	Overview of Respondents by Gender	48
	4.2.1.4.	Respondents' Response.	49
	4.2.1.4.1.	Students' Response.	50
	4.2.1.4.1.1.	Students' Response towards Perceived Quality of Unity Design	51
	4.2.1.4.1.2.	Students' Response towards Perceived Quality of Complexity	
		Design	52
	4.2.1.4.1.3.	Students' Response towards Perceived Quality of Intensity Design	54
	4.2.1.4.1.4.	Students' Response towards Perceived Quality of Novelty Design	55
	4.2.1.4.2.	Parents' Response.	58
	4.2.1.4.2.1.	Parents' Response towards Perceived Quality of Unity Design	58
	4.2.1.4.2.2.	Parents' Response towards Perceived Quality of Complexity	
		Design	59
	4.2.1.4.2.3.	Parents' Response towards Perceived Quality of Intensity Design	60
	4.2.1.4.2.4.	Parents' Response towards Perceived Quality of Novelty Design	61
	4.2.2.	Results of Data Testing.	63
	4.2.2.1.	Evaluation of Data Normality	64
	4.2.2.2.	Evaluation of Outliers.	65
	4.2.2.2.1.	Univariate of Outliers.	66
	4.2.2.2.2	Multivariate of Outliers	67

4.2.2.3.	Evaluation of Multicollinearity and Singularity	68
4.2.2.4.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	69
4.2.2.4.1.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Students	69
4.2.2.4.1.1.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Perceived Quality of Unity	
	Design	69
4.2.2.4.1.2.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Perceived Quality of Complexity	
	Design	72
4.2.2.4.1.3.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Perceived Quality of Intensity	
	Design	76
4.2.2.4.1.4.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Perceived Quality of Novelty	
	Design	78
4.2.2.4.2.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Parents	81
4.2.2.4.2.1.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Perceived Quality of Unity	
	Design	81
4.2.2.4.2.2.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Perceived Quality of Complexity	
	Design	84
4.2.2.4.2.3.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Perceived Quality of Intensity	
	Design	87
4.2.2.4.2.4.	Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Perceived Quality of Novelty	
	Design	89
4.2.2.5.	Analysis of Full Structural Equation Modelling	92
4.2.2.6.	Reliability Test	96
4.2.3.	Results of Indicators Testing.	97
4.2.3.1.	Variable Testing for Students	100
4.2.3.1.1.	Variable Testing of Perceived Quality of Unity Design for	
	Students	100
4.2.3.1.2.	Variable Testing of Perceived Quality of Complexity Design for	
	Students	101
4.2.3.1.3.	Variable Testing of Perceived Quality of Intensity Design for	
	Students	102
4.2.3.1.4.	Variable Testing of Perceived Quality of Novelty Design for	
	Students	104

	4.2.3.2.	Variable Testing for Parents	105
	4.2.3.2.1.	Variable Testing of Perceived Quality of Unity Design for	
		Students	105
	4.2.3.2.2.	Variable Testing of Perceived Quality of Complexity Design for	
		Parents	106
	4.2.3.2.3.	Variable Testing of Perceived Quality of Intensity Design for	
		Parents	107
	4.2.3.2.4.	Variable Testing of Perceived Quality of Novelty Design for	
		Parents	109
	4.3.	Discussion	110
(CHAPTER V:	CONCLUSION	134
	5.1.	Conclusion.	133
	5.1.1.	Indicator Testing from Perceived Quality Variables	134
	5.1.1.1.	The Effect of Perceived Quality of Unity Design	134
	5.1.1.2.	The Effect of Perceived Quality of Complexity Design	135
	5.1.1.3.	The Effect of Perceived Quality of Intensity Design	136
	5.1.1.4.	The Effect of Perceived Quality of Novelty Design	137
	5.1.2.	Conclusion for Research Problem.	137
	5.2.	Implication	141
	5.2.1.	Theoretical Implication.	141
	5.2.2.	Managerial Implication	143
	5.3.	Recommendation.	151
F	REFERENCES	5	152
A	APPENDIX A.		A-1
A	APPENDIX B.		B-1
	DDENIDIX C		O 1

LIST OF TABLES

CHAP'	TER I: INTRODUCTION	
1.1.	List of Private Universities Located in Surabaya	5
CHAP	TER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Item Placement Ratio.	22
CHAP	TER III:	
3.1.	Core Design of the Questionnaire.	34
3.2.	Definition of Operational Variable.	35
3.3.	Description of Constructor Indicator.	39
3.4.	Relationship of Construct.	41
3.5.	The Conversion Results into the Equations of the Exogenous Construct	
	Measurement Model.	42
3.6.	Feasibility Index of a Model (Goodness of Fit)	43
CHAP	ΓER IV:	
4.1.	Respondents by Age.	48
4.2.	Respondents by Gender.	49
4.3	Degree of Assessment of Each Variable.	50
4.4	Students' Response toward Perceived Quality of Unity Design	52
4.5	Students' Response toward Perceived Quality of Complexity Design	53
4.6	Students' Response toward Perceived Quality of Intensity Design	55
4.7	Student's Response toward Perceived Quality of Novelty Design	56
4.8	Parents' Response toward Perceived Quality of Unity Design.	59
4.9	Parents' Response toward Perceived Quality of Complexity Design	60
4.10	Parents' Response toward Perceived Quality of Intensity Design	6
4.11	Parents' Response toward Perceived Quality of Novelty Design	62
4.12	Goodness of Fit Index	64
4.13	Test Result of Data Normality (Students)	64
4.14	Test Result of Data Normality (Parents)	65
4.15	Descriptive Statistics of Z-Score (Students)	66
4 16	Descriptive Statistics of 7-Score (Parents)	6

4.17	Mahalanobis Distance (Students)	68
4.18	Mahalanobis Distance (Parents)	68
	Test of Weight Factor and	
4.19	Factor Loading Value of Unity Design for Students	70
	Test of Weight Factor and	
4.20	Factor Loading Value of Complexity Design for Student	73
	Test of Weight Factor and	
4.21	Factor Loading Value of Intensity Design for Student	77
4.22	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Novelty Design for Student.	79
4.23	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Unity Design for Parents.	82
4.24	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Complexity Design for Parents	85
4.25	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Intensity Design for Parents	88
4.26	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Novelty Design for Parents	90
4.27	Feasibility Testing Index	95
4.28	Regression Weights Full Structural Equation Model	95
4.29	Reliability Test.	96
4.30	Indicators Test Result (Students)	97
4.31	Indicators Test Result (Parents)	98
4.32	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Unity Design (Students)	100
4.33	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Complexity Design (Students)	101
4.34	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Intensity Design (Students)	102
4.35	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Novelty Design (Students)	104

4.36	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Unity Design (Parents)	105
4.37	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Complexity Design (Parents)	106
4.38	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Intensity Design (Parents)	108
4.39	Test of Weight Factor and	
	Factor Loading Value of Novelty Design (Parents)	109
CHAP	TER V: CONCLUSION	
5.1	Theoretical Implication.	141
5.2	Managerial Implications for Students	149
5.3	Managerial Implications for Parents.	150

LIST OF PICTURES

СНАРТ	TER I	
1.1.	A social exchange model of knowledge diffusion between advertising and	
	other disciplines	6
1.2.	A preview of UPH Surabaya marketing tool.	10
СНАРТ	TER II	
2.1.	Research Model	27
СНАРТ	TER III	
3.1.	Flow Diagram of Theoretical Framework.	40
СНАРТ	TER IV	
4.1.	Diagram of Respondents by Age.	48
4.2.	Diagram of Respondents by Gender	49
4.3.	Confirmatory Analysis of Unity Design (Students)	70
4.4.	Confirmatory Analysis of Complexity Design (Students)	72
4.5.	Poster of "Harry Potter and the Order of the Phoenix: The Rebellion Begins	74
4.6.	A screenshot of NCG's website in 2014.	75
4.7.	Confirmatory Analysis of Intensity Design (Students)	76
4.8.	Confirmatory Analysis of Novelty Design (Students)	78
4.9.	Confirmatory Analysis of Unity Design (Parents)	82
4.10.	Confirmatory Analysis of Complexity Design (Parents)	84
4.11.	Confirmatory Analysis of Intensity Design (Parents)	87
4.12.	Confirmatory Analysis of Novelty Design (Parents)	90
4.13.	Full Structural Model (Students)	93
4.14.	Full Structural Model (Parents)	94
4.15.	A collection of Google's Doodles.	111
4.16.	The Judging Criteria from Google.	113
4.17.	Types of fonts that is suitable for marketing tool.	118
4.18.	Fonts that should be avoided when making the marketing tool.	119
4.19.	An example of an analogous colours	120
4.20.	An example of a complementary colours.	123

4.21. An example of Palette design graphic 125

4.22.	A glimpse of UPH Surabaya's marketing tool, 2017 edition	126
4.23.	A new concept of a marketing tool.	129
4.24.	An example of pamphlet with a USB	132

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Questionnaire	A-1
Appendix B	Questionnaire Data.	B-1
Appendix C	Analysis Summary	C-1