FINAL PAPER

ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECT OF SALESPERSON QUALITY AND INSTITUTION IMAGE TOWARD CUSTOMER LOYALTY, THROUGH RELATIONSHIP QUALITY OF SALESPERSON, RELATIONSHIP QUALITY OF INSTITUTION, AND COMMITMENT AT AXA FINANCIAL

Submitted as a final requirement to obtain the degree of Sarjana Manajemen Strata Satu

By:

NAME: MICHAEL CORLEON SANTOSO

NPM : 01320160026/00000028851



PROGRAM STUDI MANAJEMEN
FAKULTAS EKONOMI DAN BISNIS
UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN
SURABAYA

2019



UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN SURABAYA STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY OF FINAL PAPER

I am student from Jurusan Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya.

Student Name

: Michael Corleon Santoso

NPM

: 01320160026/00000028851

Department

: Manajemen

Hereby declare that the work of Final Paper that I created with the title of "ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECT OF SALESPERSON QUALITY AND INSTITUTION IMAGE TOWARDS CUSTOMER LOYALTY, THROUGH RELATIONSHIP QUALITY OF A SALESPERSON, RELATIONSHIP QUALITY OF THE INSTITUTION, AND COMMITMENT AT AXA FINANCIAL" is:

- Created and completed by my own, by using the result of lectures, field reviews and books and journal references listed in the list of references at the end of my Final Paper.
- 2) Not a duplication of other papers that have been published or have ever been used to get a degree at another university, except in parts of the information sources listed by proper reference.
- Not the work of translated collections of reference books or journals listed the reference at the end of my Final Paper

If it is proved that I did not fulfill what has been stated above, the work of this Final Paper is invalid,

Surabaya, 29th July 2019





AGREEMENT OF MENTORING GUIDANCE FOR FINAL PAPER

ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECT OF SALESPERSON QUALITY AND INSTITUTION IMAGE TOWARD CUSTOMER LOYALTY, THROUGH RELATINOSHIP QUALITY OF SALESPERSON, RELATINOSHIP QUALITY OF INSTITUTION, AND COMMITMENT AT AXA FINANCIAL

By:

Student Name : Michael Corleon Santoso

NPM : 01320160026/00000028851

Department : Manajemen

Has been examined and approved for submission and defended in a comprehensive presentation in order to obtain the degree of *Sarjana Manajemen Strata Satu* in *Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Pelita Harapan* Surabaya.

Surabaya, 29th July 2019

Approving:

Dr. Ronald, S.T., M.M

Advisor₁1

Dr. Amelia, S.E., M.M

Executive Director

Co-Advisor

Head of Management

Department

Dr. Amelia, S.E., M.M

Dr. Ronald, S.T., M.M.



AGREEMENT OF PANELIST APPROVAL FOR FINAL PAPER

On 12th September, 2019 has been held a comprehensive presentation in order to fulfill academic requirment to obtain *Sarjana Manajemen Strata Satu* in *Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya* on the behalf of:

Student Name : Michael Corleon Santoso

NPM : 01320160026/00000028851

Department : Manajemen

Including Final Paper comprehensive test by the title of "ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECT OF SALESPERSON QUALITY AND INSTITUTION IMAGE TOWARD CUSTOMER LOYALTY, THROUGH RELATINOSHIP QUALITY OF SALESPERSON, RELATINOSHIP QUALITY OF INSTITUTION, AND COMMITMENT AT AXA FINANCIAL" panelist team consisting of:

Board of Panelist: Status Signature

as the Chairman

1. Dr. Ronald, ST, M.M.

and Advisor

2. Yanuar Dananjaya, B. Sc, M.M. as the Member

3. William Santoso, S.E., M.Ak. as the Member

ABSTRACT

The increasing expense of medical cost and hospitalisation year to year has caused a large part of an individual's asset and income to disappear in an instant. Even famous and well known celebrities and artist with tons of assets cannot escape this undeniable fate, which results in them falling to debts and heaps of assets sold in order to pay those treatment of medical costs. For whatever the disease or generation we currently are into nowadays, the realisation of having insurance nowadays is critical for our financial protection.

The purpose of this research is to reveal which factors between Salesperson Quality and Institution Image towards Customer Loyalty, through Relationship Quality of Salesperson, Relationship Quality of Institution, and Commitment at AXA Financial, which is aimed to use 5 variables to identify the Customer Loyalty of customers.

This study uses a quantitative approach to the analysis technique of Structural Equation Model (SEM) and the AMOS 22.0 software. The questionnaire distributed to 160 respondents in the sample in which the characteristics of respondents that consists of men and women in the age range 18-60 years, who have purchased insurance policy products at least once in the last 2 years. The sampling method used in this research that is non probability sampling.

The results showed that Salesperson Quality variable has significant effect on the Relationship Quality of Salesperson with regression coefficient value of 0.84; Institution Image variable has significant effect on the Relationship Quality of Institution with regression coefficient value of 0.743; Relationship Quality of Salesperson variable has significant effect on the Relationship Quality of Institution with regression coefficient value of 0.601; Relationship Quality of Salesperson variable has insignificant effect on the Commitment with regression coefficient value of 0.053; Relationship Quality of Institution variable has significant effect on the Commitment with regression coefficient of 0.637; Commitment variable has significant effect on the Customer Loyalty with regression coefficient value of 0.898.

Keywords: Salesperson Quality, Institution Image, Relationship Quality of Salesperson, Relationship Quality of Institution, Commitment, and Customer Loyalty and AXA Financial

PREFACE

Praises and gratefulness are delivered to The Almighty God for all His mercy in helping and guiding the author during the preparation of the thesis as the final paper for the undergraduate study. For this, the author also would like to greatly appreciate the following people for their valuable contributions in helping the author from beginning until the completion of the thesis.

- 1. Dr. Ronald Suryaputra, S.T., M.M. as the Academic Director of Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya and the Advisor, for the priceless guidance, advices, encouragement during the whole process of the thesis preparation, and the patience and kindness in checking every single mistake in the paper before the submission. Also, a big gratitude for defending, guidance, and helping me in my proposal and final defense.
- Dr. Amelia, S.E., M.M.., as the co-advisor, for the priceless guidance, encouragement, advice during the whole process of the thesis preparation and final defense.
- Yanuar Dananjaya, B.Bs., M.M., as one of the panelist during the final defense for the advice and guidance during the whole process of the thesis preparation.
- 4. William Santoso, S.E., M.M., as one of the panelist during the final defense for the advice and guidance during the whole process of the thesis preparation.
- 5. Mrs. Elvina Soekiman and Mr. Boedi Santoso, my beloved mother and father, for all the priceless assistances, encouragements and supports during the whole process of thesis preparation.
- Go Chin San & Go Family (Go Kok Eng, Go Chin Han, and Go Chin Tjwan) for the unforgettable teachings, encouragements and help through financial hardships.
- To all my closest friends, Go Chin San, Hong Rui You, William Sutejo,
 Jordan, Venlie, Natali for the precious time spent together.
- 8. To my Gloria friends, Kevin, William, Erich, SL, Jordan, Jason, Kenneth Leo, Harris, Marvel, and KJ for all the laughter and memories we shared.

- 9. To my Elyon friends, Antony, Ezra, Naveno, Roy, Tinyu, and Erick for the surprises and gifts.
- 10. To my Mobile Legend friends (just4fungaming), JeffNoLimit, EarthTech, omnimustang, BabyVen, ArrowMoonlight, VTJESUS, Skripipip, Pikachu, Saltedegg, Zerouniverse, and Rodriqueznoob for the fun, laughter, and joy through university life.

Although the thesis is still far from being perfect, the author does believe that this thesis will be useful for further usage. As the thesis is completed, with the effort and love from all the people involved in the preparation stage as mentioned before, and to them, the author dedicates this final paper.

Surabaya, 29 July 2019

BBAFF711 BROWN

BBURDIAH

Michael Corleon Santoso

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TITLE PAG | GE | i | |
|--|---|------|--|
| STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY OF FINAL PAPER | | | |
| AGREEME | AGREEMENT OF MENTOTING GUIDANCE FOR FINAL PAPER | | |
| AGREEME | NT OF PANELIST APPROVAL FOR FINAL PAPER | iv | |
| ABSTRACT | Γ | v | |
| PREFACE. | | vi | |
| TABLE OF | CONTENTS | viii | |
| LIST OF TA | ABLES | xii | |
| LIST OF PI | CTURES | xiv | |
| LIST OF A | PPENDICES | xv | |
| CHAPTER | CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION. 1 | | |
| 1.1. | Background | 1 | |
| 1.2. | Research Problems. | 9 | |
| 1.3. | Research Objectives | 10 | |
| 1.4. | Research Contributions | 10 | |
| 1.5. | Research Limitations | 10 | |
| 1.6. | Research Outlines. | 11 | |
| CHAPTER : | II LITERATURE REVIEW | 13 | |
| 2.1. | Salesperson Quality | 13 | |
| 2.2. | Institution Image. | 18 | |
| 2.3. | Relationship Quality | 22 | |
| 2.3.1 | Relationship Quality of a Salesperson. | 24 | |
| 2.3.2 | Relationship Quality of the Institution | 25 | |
| 2.4. | Commitment | 26 | |
| 2.5. | Customer Loyalty | 29 | |
| 2.6. | Previous Study | 33 | |
| 2.7. | Hypothesis | 35 | |
| 2.7.1. | Salesperson Quality and Relationship Quality of Salesperson | 35 | |
| 2.7.2. | Institution Image and Relationship Quality of the Institution | 36 | |

| | | Relationship Quality of a Salesperson and Relationship Quality of the | |
|---|----------|---|----|
| | 2.7.3. | Institution | 3 |
| | 2.7.4. | Relationship Quality of Salesperson and Commitment | 39 |
| | 2.7.5. | Relationship Quality of the Institution and Commitment | 4(|
| | 2.7.6. | Commitment and Customer Loyalty | 4 |
| | 2.8. | Research Model | 42 |
| | 2.9. | Operational Framework | 42 |
| (| CHAPTER | III RESEARCH METHODS | 45 |
| | 3.1. | Research Design | 45 |
| | 3.2. | Type of Research | 45 |
| | 3.3. | Population and Samples | 46 |
| | 3.3.1. | Population | 46 |
| | 3.3.2. | Samples | 47 |
| | 3.4. | Data Collection Methods | 48 |
| | 3.5. | Operational Definition and Variable Measurement | 51 |
| | 3.6. | Data Analyzing Method. | 53 |
| | 3.6.1. | Reliability Test | 63 |
| (| CHAPTER | IV DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION | 64 |
| | 4.1. | Overview of AXA Financial | 64 |
| | 4.2. | Data Analysis | 64 |
| | 4.2.1. | Descriptive Statistics. | 64 |
| | 4.2.1.1. | Characteristic of Respondents. | 64 |
| | 4.2.1.2. | Overview of Respondents by Age | 65 |
| | 4.2.1.3. | Overview of Respondents by Gender | 66 |
| | 4.2.1.4. | Respondents' Response. | 67 |
| | 4.2.2. | Descriptive Variable Analysis | 73 |
| | 4.2.2.1. | Respondent's Response towards Salesperson Quality | 73 |
| | 4.2.2.2. | Respondent's Response towards Institution Image | 75 |
| | 4.2.2.3. | Respondent's Response towards Relationship Quality of Salesperson | 77 |
| | 4.2.2.4. | Respondent's Response towards Relationship Quality of Institution | 78 |
| | 4.2.2.5. | Respondent's Response towards Commitment | 79 |
| | 4.2.2.6. | Respondent's Response towards Customer Loyalty | 80 |

| | 4.2.3. | Results of Data Quality Testing. | 81 |
|---|------------|--|-----|
| | 4.2.3.1. | Evaluation of Data Normality | 82 |
| | 4.2.3.2. | Evaluation of Outliers. | 84 |
| | 4.2.3.2.1. | Univariate Outliers | 84 |
| | 4.2.3.2.2 | Multivariate Outliers | 86 |
| | 4.2.3.3. | Evaluation of Multicollinearity and Singularity | 86 |
| | 4.2.3.4. | Confirmatory Factor Analysis | 87 |
| | 4.2.3.4.1. | Confirmatory Analysis of Exogenous Variables | 87 |
| | 4.2.3.4.2. | Confirmatory Analysis of Endogenous Variables | 88 |
| | 4.2.3.5. | Analysis of Full Structural Equation Modelling | 90 |
| | 4.2.3.6. | Reliability Test | 93 |
| | 4.2.4. | Results of Hypothesis Testing. | 95 |
| | 4.2.4.1. | Hypothesis Testing 1 (H1) | 96 |
| | 4.2.4.2. | Hypothesis Testing 2 (H2) | 97 |
| | 4.2.4.3. | Hypothesis Testing 3 (H3) | 97 |
| | 4.2.4.4. | Hypothesis Testing 4 (H4). | 98 |
| | 4.2.4.5. | Hypothesis Testing 5 (H5) | 98 |
| | 4.2.4.6. | Hypothesis Testing 6 (H6) | 99 |
| | 4.3. | Discussion | 99 |
| (| CHAPTER V | V CONCLUSION | 117 |
| | 5.1. | Conclusion. | 117 |
| | 5.1.1. | Conclusion for Hypotheses. | 117 |
| | 5.1.1.1. | The Effect of Salesperson Quality on Relationship Quality Saleperson | 117 |
| | 5.1.1.2. | The Effect of Institution Image on Relationship Quality of Institution | 118 |
| | | The Effect of Relationship Quality of Salesperson on Relationship | |
| | 5.1.1.3. | Quality of the Institution. | 118 |
| | 5.1.1.4 | The Effect of Relationship Quality of Salesperson on Commitment | 119 |
| | 5.1.1.5 | The Effect of Relationship Quality of Institution on Commitment | 119 |
| | 5.1.1.6. | The Effect of Commitment on Customer Loyalty | 119 |
| | 5.1.2. | Conclusion for Research Problem | 120 |
| | 5.2. | Implication | 121 |
| | 5.2.1. | Theoretical Implication | 121 |
| | | | |

| 5.2.2. | Managerial Implication | 122 |
|----------|------------------------|-----|
| | Recommendation. | |
| REFEREN | CES | 127 |
| APPENDIX | (A | A-1 |
| APPENDIX | (B | B-1 |
| APPENDIX | C | C-1 |

LIST OF TABLES

| CHAPTER I II | NTRODUCTION |
|--------------|-------------|
|--------------|-------------|

| 1.1. | Comparing Data of Insurance Companies in Indonesia | 4 |
|-------|--|----|
| CHAP | TER III | |
| 3.1. | Core Design of the Questionnaire | 50 |
| 3.2. | Definition of Operational Variable | 52 |
| 3.3. | Description of Constructor Indicator | 55 |
| 3.4. | Relationship of Construct | 57 |
| 3.5. | The Conversion Results into the Equations of the Exogenous Construct | |
| | Measurement Model | 58 |
| 3.6. | The Conversion Results into the Equations of the Endogenous Construct | |
| | Measurement Model | 58 |
| 3.7. | Feasibility Index of a Model (Goodness of Fit) | 62 |
| CHAP | TER IV | |
| 4.1. | Respondents by Age | 65 |
| 4.2. | Respondents by Gender | 66 |
| 4.3. | Degree of Assessment of Each Variable. | 67 |
| 4.4. | Respondents' Response toward Salesperson Quality | 68 |
| 4.5. | Respondents' Response toward Institution Image | 69 |
| 4.6. | Respondents' Response toward Relationship Quality of Salesperson | 70 |
| 4.7. | Respondents' Response toward Relationship Quality of the Institution | 71 |
| 4.8. | Respondents' Response toward Commitment | 72 |
| 4.9. | Respondent's Response toward Customer Loyalty | 72 |
| 4.10. | Respondent's distribution answer toward Salesperson Quality | 73 |
| 4.11. | Respondent's distribution answer toward Institution Image | 75 |
| 4.12. | Respondent's distribution answer toward Relationship Quality Salesperson | 77 |
| 4.13. | Respondent's distribution answer toward Relationship Quality Institution | 78 |
| 4.14. | Respondent's distribution answer toward Commitment | 79 |
| 4.15. | Respondent's distribution answer toward Customer Loyalty | 80 |
| 4.16. | Goodness of Fit Index | 82 |

| | 4.17. | Test Result of Data Normality | 83 |
|---|-----------|--|-----|
| | 4.18. | Descriptive Statistics of Z-Score. | 84 |
| | 4.19. | Mahalanobis Distance | 86 |
| | 4.20. | Test of Weight Factor and Factor Loading Value of Exogenous Variables | 88 |
| | 4.21. | Test of Weight Factor and Factor Loading Value of Endogenous Variables | 90 |
| | 4.22. | Feasibility Testing Index | 91 |
| | 4.23. | Regression Weights Full Structural Equation Model | 91 |
| | 4.24. | Reliability Test | 94 |
| | 4.25. | Hypothesis Test Result. | 96 |
| | 4.26. | Salesperson Quality Indicators | 103 |
| | 4.27. | Institution Image Indicators | 107 |
| | 4.28. | Relationship Quality of Salesperson Indicators | 110 |
| | 4.29. | Relationship Quality of Institution Indicators | 112 |
| | 4.30. | Commitment Indicators | 113 |
| | 4.31. | Customer Loyalty Indicators | 115 |
| C | CHAPTER V | | |
| | 5.2. | Theoretical Implication. | 121 |
| | 5.3. | Managerial Implication | 125 |

.

LIST OF FIGURES

| CHAP | I EK II | |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.1. | Effects on relationship quality from previous study | 34 |
| 2.2. | Research Model | 42 |
| CHAP' | TER III | |
| 3.1. | Flow Diagram of Theoretical Framework. | 56 |
| CHAP | TER IV | |
| 4.1. | Diagram of Respondents by Age | 65 |
| 4.2. | Diagram of Respondents by Gender | 66 |
| 4.3. | Confirmatory Analysis of Exogenous Variables | 87 |
| 4.4. | Confirmatory Analysis of Endogenous Variables | 89 |
| 4.5 | Full Structural Model | 90 |

....

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix A | Questionnaire | A- 3 |
|------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Appendix B | Questionnaire Data | B-1 |
| Appendix C | Analysis Summary | C-1 |