

FINAL PAPER

**THE EFFECT OF EMPLOYEES' INJUSTICE PERCEPTION
TO COUNTERPRODUCTIVE WORK BEHAVIOR
AT UD SOPRO, MOJOKERTO**

Submitted as a final requirement to obtain the degree of
Sarjana Ekonomi Strata Satu

By:

NAME : LOIS FELICIA

NPM : 01120110023



**PROGRAM STUDI MANAJEMEN
FAKULTAS EKONOMI
UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN
SURABAYA
2014**



UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN SURABAYA

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY OF FINAL PAPER

I, a student of the Jurusan Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya,

Student Name : Lois Felicia
NPM : 01120110023
Department : Management

Hereby declare that the Final Paper I have written entitled **"THE EFFECT OF EMPLOYEES' INJUSTICE PERCEPTION TO COUNTERPRODUCTIVE WORK BEHAVIOR AT UD SOPRO, MOJOKERTO"** is:

- 1) Written and completed by myself using lecture materials, field research, textbooks, and journals listed in the reference section of this Final Paper;
- 2) Not a duplication of published final paper or thesis used for obtaining the Bachelor's degree from other universities, except for the review of literature with proper reference citation; and
- 3) Not a translation of a book or journal listed in the references section of this Final Paper.

If I am proven for not being truthful, this Final Paper will be invalid and cancelled.

Surabaya, 21st November 2014

Declared by,



(Lois Felicia)



UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN SURABAYA
FAKULTAS EKONOMI

AGREEMENT OF MENTORING GUIDANCE FOR FINAL PAPER

**THE EFFECT OF EMPLOYEES' INJUSTICE PERCEPTION TO
COUNTERPRODUCTIVE WORK BEHAVIOR
AT UD SOPRO, MOJOKERTO**

By:

Name : Lois Felicia
NPM : 01120110023
Department : Management

Has been checked and recommended for final and comprehensive oral examination in order to obtain the degree of *Sarjana Ekonomi* at the Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya.

Surabaya, 21st November 2014
Agreed :

Advisor

Prof. Maria Rochelle G.
Divinagracia, M.Sc., DBA

Co-Advisor

Hastuti Naibaho, S.E., M.Si., CHRP

Head
Program Studi Manajemen

Ronald Suryaputra, S.T., M.M.

Dean
Fakultas Ekonomi

Prof. Louie A. Divinagracia, M.Sc., DBA



UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN SURABAYA
FAKULTAS EKONOMI

FINAL PAPER EXAMINATION COMMITTEE

On Monday, 10th December 2014, this Final Paper has been examined in a comprehensive oral presentation to fulfill one of the academic requirements to obtain the degree of *Sarjana Ekonomi* at the Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya:

Name : Lois Felicia
NPM : 01120110023
Department : Management

The members of the Examination Committee involved in the comprehensive oral examination of this Final Paper entitled **“THE EFFECT OF EMPLOYEES’ INJUSTICE PERCEPTION TO COUNTERPRODUCTIVE WORK BEHAVIOR AT UD SOPRO, MOJOKERTO”** were the following:

Name	Status	Signature
1. Prof. Maria Rochelle Divinagracia M.Sc., DBA	as Head of the Committee and Thesis Advisor	
2. Oliandes Sondakh, S.E., M.M.	as the Member of the Committee	
3. Hananiel Mennoverdi Gunawan, BA, MBA	as the Member of the Committee	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author can finally complete this Final Paper which is made to fulfill academic requirements to obtain the degree of *Sarjana Ekonomi Strata Satu* in Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya.

There are various parties that support and lend a help to the author for the Final Paper completion. Hence, the author would like to thank these following people:

1. Jesus Christ as the one who enabled me to finish the Final Paper and as the one who planned and actualized everything accordingly in time. He made everything works together for my good and for everyone's good.
2. Tjahyo Purnomo, Listiawati, Wismaningsih, Kusumowati, Jessica Mandy, and Yosua as the author's family and 24/7 friends.
3. Prof. Maria Rochelle G. Divinagracia, M.Sc., DBA as the Thesis Advisor and Academic Advisor of the International Class students of Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya who has provided direction and notes for revision so that the author can complete the Final Paper well. I will see you again someday!
4. Hastuti Naibaho, S.E, M.Si., CHRP as Thesis Co-Advisor who has provided time for long-distance guidance and abundant support for the completion of this Final Paper. I wish you luck for your future plan.
5. Ronald, ST., M.M. as the Deputy of Management Study Program of Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya who has taken care of me in a way I realize or not. Thank you so much.
6. Oliandes Sondakh, S.E., M.M. and Hananiel Mennoverdi Gunawan, BA, MBA, as my Final Paper panelists, who let me pass with the best grade I deserved.
7. All Business School lecturers of Universitas Pelita Harapan who have shared their knowledge and experience during the academic years.

8. The owner of UD SOPRO who has given permission to use their companies as the research object for this thesis.
9. Jean-Philippe Cante, my Bagua Companion, my living dictionary, who always cheered up the author until the completion of the Final Paper.
10. Michelle Nathania, my thesis partner, who I just knew that she could be my bestest girlfriend, during the process of making thesis. Hope that our friendship will last though we will be separated by distance.
11. Wrong Community, RILLS, and Light of Hope Dance Crew who are the closest friends of the author for always inspiring and turning on the good mood of the author.
12. Others who can not be mentioned one by one by the author.

Surabaya, 21st November 2014

Author

Lois Felicia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY OF FINAL PAPER.....	ii
AGREEMENT OF MENTORING GUIDANCE FOR FINAL PAPER ..	iii
FINAL PAPER EXAMINATION COMMITTEE	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xiv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Research Problems	5
1.3 Research Objectives	5
1.4 Research Contributions	6
1.4.1 For The Company	6
1.4.2 For The Researcher.....	6
1.5 Research Limitation	6
1.6 Research Outline	7
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	8
2.1 Counterproductive Work Behavior	8
2.1.1 Forms of Counterproductive Work Behavior.	9
2.1.1.1 Abuse.....	9
2.1.1.2 Production Deviance	11
2.1.1.3 Sabotage	11
2.1.1.4 Theft	12
2.1.1.5 Withdrawal	13
2.2 Injustice Perception	14
2.2.1 Dimensions of Injustice Perception.....	16
2.2.1.1 Distributive Injustice Perception.	16
2.2.1.2 Procedural Injustice Perception.....	17
2.2.1.3 Interactional Injustice Perception.....	18
2.3 Relationship Between Variables	19
2.3.1 Distributive Injustice Perception and CWB	19
2.3.2 Procedural Injustice Perception and CWB	19

2.3.3	Interactional Injustice Perception and CWB	20
2.3.4	Injustice Perception and CWB	20
2.4	Theoretical Framework	21
2.5	Hypothesis	21
2.6	Operational Framework.....	22
CHAPTER III	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	24
3.1	Research Design.....	24
3.2	Research Variables	24
3.2.1	Operational Definitions	24
3.2.2	Variable to be tested	24
3.2.3	Level of Measurement and Scaling Techniques.....	26
3.3	Methods of Data Collection	26
3.3.1	Source of Data	26
3.3.2	Sample and Sampling Plan.....	26
3.3.3	Research Instruments.....	26
3.4	Methods of Data Analysis	27
3.4.1	Descriptive Analysis	28
3.4.2	Validity Analysis	28
3.4.3	Reliability Analysis	28
3.4.4	Classical Test Assumption.....	29
3.4.4.1	Multicollinearity Test	29
3.4.4.2	Linearity Test.....	29
3.4.4.3	Homoscedasticity Test.....	29
3.4.4.4	Normality Test	29
3.4.5	Regression Analysis	30
3.4.5.1	Multiple Linear Regression	30
3.4.5.2	Coefficient of Determination (R^2)	30
3.4.5.3	Coefficient of Correlation (r).....	30
3.4.5.4	T-Test.....	31
3.4.5.5	F-Test.....	31
CHAPTER IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.	32
4.1	Brief Description of UD SOPRO.....	32
4.2	Descriptive Statistics of Respondents	36
4.2.1	Gender.	36
4.2.2	Age.....	37
4.2.3	Salary Range per Month.....	38
4.2.4	Length of Employment.....	39
4.2.5	Summary of Descriptive Statistics of Respondents.....	40

4.3 Mean Rating and Standard Deviation of Each Indicator of Distributive Injustice Perception, Procedural Injustice Perception, Interactional Injustice Perception, and Counterproductive Work Behavior.....	41
4.3.1 Mean Rating and Standard Deviation of Each Indicator of Distributive Injustice Perception	41
4.3.2 Mean Rating and Standard Deviation of Each Indicator of Procedural Injustice Perception..	42
4.3.3 Mean Rating and Standard Deviation of Each Indicator of Interactional Injustice Perception	43
4.3.4 Mean Rating and Standard Deviation of Each Indicator of Counterproductive Work Behavior	44
4.4 Result of Validity Test	47
4.5 Result of Reliability Test	49
4.6 Result of Classical Assumption Test	49
4.6.1 Multicollinearity Test Result	49
4.6.2 Linearity Test Result	50
4.6.3 Homoscedasticity Test Result	51
4.6.4 Normality Test Result.....	51
4.7 Result of Hypothesis Testing	52
4.7.1 The Effect of Distributive Injustice Perception, Procedural Injustice Perception, and Interactional Injustice Perception on Counterproductive Work Behavior (H ₁ , H ₂ , H ₃ , and H ₄).....	54
4.7.1.1 The Effect of Distributive Injustice Perception on Counterproductive Work Behavior (H ₁)	55
4.7.1.2 The Effect of Procedural Injustice Perception on Counterproductive Work Behavior (H ₂)	55
4.7.1.3 The Effect of Interactional Injustice Perception on Counterproductive Work Behavior (H ₃)	56
4.7.1.4 The Effect of Distributive Injustice Perception, Procedural Injustice Perception, and Interactional Injustice Perception on Counterproductive Work Behavior (H ₄)	56

4.8 Discussion	57
4.8.1 H ₁ : Distributive Injustice Perception on Counterproductive Work Behavior	57
4.8.2 H ₂ : Procedural Injustice Perception on Counterproductive Work Behavior	58
4.8.3 H ₃ : Interactional Injustice Perception on Counterproductive Work Behavior	60
4.8.4 H ₄ : Distributive Injustice Perception, Procedural Injustice Perception, and Interactional Injustice Perception on Counterproductive Work Behavior	61
4.9 General Discussion	62

CHAPTER V	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	65
5.1 Summary		65
5.2 Conclusions		66
5.3 Recommendations		67
5.3.1 Future Researchers		67
5.3.2 UD SOPRO.....		67

REFERENCES
APPENDICES

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1 Theoretical Framework.....	21
Figure 2 Operational Framework.....	22
Figure 3 Percentage of Employees based on Gender.....	36
Figure 4 Percentage of Employees based on Age.....	37
Figure 5 Percentage of Employees based on Salary Range per Month.....	38
Figure 6 Percentage of Employees based on Length of Employment.....	39
Figure 7 Scatterplot for Indicators of Distributive Injustice Perception, Procedural Injustice Perception, Interactional Injustice Perception to Counterproductive Work Behavior.....	51
Figure 8 Normal Probability for Indicators of Distributive Injustice Perception, Procedural Injustice Perception, Interactional Injustice Perception to Counterproductive Work Behavior.....	52

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1 Operational Framework Symbol Explanation.....	23
Table 2 Operational Definitions.....	25
Table 3 Five-Point Frequency Likert Scale Representations.....	26
Table 4 Interval of Average Score.	28
Table 5 Descriptive Statistics Summary	40
Table 6 Mean Rating and Standard Deviation of Each Indicator of Distributive Injustice Perception.....	41
Table 7 Mean Rating and Standard Deviation of Each Indicator of Procedural Injustice Perception	42
Table 8 Mean Rating and Standard Deviation of Each Indicator of Interactional Injustice Perception	43
Table 9 Mean Rating and Standard Deviation of Each Indicator of Counterproductive Work Behavior.....	45
Table 10 Result of Validity Test	47
Table 11 Result of Validity Test after Removing Invalid Indicators.....	48
Table 12 Result of Reliability Test	49
Table 13 Result of Multicollinearity Test	50
Table 14 Result of Linearity Test.....	50
Table 15 Result of Multiple Linear Regression Analysis for H ₁ -H ₄	53
Table 16 Result of Multiple Linear Regression Analysis toward Forms of CWB	62

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix A: Questionnaire (English).....	A-1
Appendix B: Questionnaire (Bahasa Indonesia).....	B-1
Appendix C: Shibaoka et al's Organizational Justice Scale	C-1
Appendix D: Spector's CWB Checklist.....	D-1
Appendix E: Data Recapitulation.....	E-1
Appendix F: R-Table for Pearson-Moment Correlation	F-1
Appendix G: Results from SPSS.....	G-1